

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Indiana Michigan Power Company
Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant

Docket Nos. 50-315; 50-316
Licenses No. DPR-58; DPR-74

During an NRC inspection conducted September 9-13, 1996, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG-1600, the violations are listed below.

1. 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1) requires, in part, that each holder of an operating license under 50.21(b) or 50.22 shall monitor the performance or condition of structures, systems, or components against licensee-established goals. Such goals shall be established, commensurate with safety.

Contrary to the above, as of September 9, 1996, the licensee failed to establish appropriate reliability goals or performance criteria commensurate with safety for 18 high safety significant structures, systems, or components.

This is a Severity Level IV violation.

2. 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," requires, in part, structures, systems, or components be monitored against licensee-established goals as described in 50.65(a)(1) unless it has been demonstrated that the performance or condition of a system, structure, or component is being effectively controlled through the performance of appropriate preventive maintenance as described in 50.65(a)(2).

Contrary to the above, the licensee failed to establish an appropriate basis for the instituted unavailability goal chosen to demonstrate that the performance or condition of the containment hydrogen control system was being effectively controlled through adequate preventive maintenance.

This is a Severity Level IV violation.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Indiana Michigan Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region III, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice of Violation within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the

correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an Order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois
this 14th day of November 1996